

Application No. 10/090,921	Applicant(s) WILLIAMS ET AL.	
Examiner Thomas M. Lithgow	Art Unit 1724	

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Rejection(s) discussed:
none

Claims discussed:
1-21

Prior art documents discussed:
Janik (US 6187188), Bailey (US 6001249), WO (02/13944)

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner proposed cancel of claims 14-21 based on the prior art (above) and small changes to claims 9 and 10 to establish clear antecedent basis - see Ex's amendment. Applicant agreed to proposal.

☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.

☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)